# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D	1	8	JUL	2005
MIPO			•	PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1441WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/PEA/416							
International application No. PCT/BE2004/000045	International filing date (da 29.03.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.03.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C04B35/66, C04B35/18, F27D1/16, C10B29/06, C21C5/44								
Applicant PREMIER REFRACTORIES (BELGIUM) S.A.								
Authority under Article 35 and trai	<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of								
3. This report is also accompanied b								
a. 🛭 sent to the applicant and t								
and/or sheets containi Administrative Instruc	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the							
seguence listing and/or tal	the second secon							
4. This report contains indications re	4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	inion	nion						
☐ Box No. II Priority								
☐ Box No. III Non-establishn	nent of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability					
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of								
applicability; ci	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum								
ľ	s in the international appli							
LI Box No. VIII Certain observ	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	is report					
23.08.2004		15.07.2005						
Name and mailing address of the internation	onal	Authorized Officer	aches Potolish,					
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.I  NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays  Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3  Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Bas	Raming, T						
Fax: +31 /0 340 - 3016		l elephone No. +51 70 3	OTICE SINCE					

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/BE2004/000045

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the report				
	With filed,	regard t unless	to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.				
	\ [ [	which is □ interi □ publi □ interi	ort is based on translations from the original language into the following language, the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: national search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) cation of the international application (under Rule 12.4) national preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	have	With regard to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whic</i> have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
	Desc	cription,	Pages				
	1-4		as originally filed				
	Clair	ms, Num	bers				
1-10			received on 26.10.2004 with letter of 21.10.2004				
		a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.	. 🗆	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of: description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4	. □ had Sup	not been plemen the the the the the any	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below an made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the tal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
	*	TF it	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/BE2004/000045

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims Claims No:

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/BE2004/000045

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents: D1: US-A-5 340 782 (LANGENOHL MARK C) 23 August 1994 (1994-08-23)

# 1. Amendments (Article 34(2) PCT)

The amendments introduced with the letter of 21-10-2004 seem to be in accordance with the dispositions of article 34(2) b) PCT.

# 2. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

On the basis of the present cited prior art documents there are no objections against novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

# 3. Inventivity (Article 33(3) PCT)

The major difference between the application and the cited prior art is the amount of clay binder (around 7 wt% bentonite clay in D1, instead of 10-15 wt% clay in the application). The effect of this higher amount of clay is that the mixtures sticks better to a wall when the mixture is gunned onto the wall. Document D1 does not give an incentive to raise the amount of clay binder, since the composition of D1 is used for ramming, in which a lower amount of clay binder is required.

Therefore, on the basis of the presently cited prior art documents there are no objections against an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

5

15

1

#### Claims.

- Gunning refractory composition consisting essentially of 40-90 dry weight % of amorphous silica aggregates, up to 40 dry weight % of alumina based compounds, 10-15 dry weight % of clay, 0.2-2.0 dry weight % of a chemical binder and further containing 2 to 8 % of water.
- Gunning refractory composition according to claim 1, characterized in that the amorphous silica aggregates comprise vitreous silica.
- Gunning refractory composition according to claim 1, characterized in that the alumina
   based compounds comprise kyanite, and alusite, chamote or mullite or a mixture thereof.
  - 4. Gunning refractory composition according to claim 1, characterized in that the alumina based compounds content is comprised between 20 and 40 dry weight %.
  - 5. Gunning refractory composition according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the chemical binder is a mineral chemical binder selected from phosphoric acid, acid alumina phosphate, alumina sulphate or sodium silicate.
    - 6. Gunning refractory composition according to claim 1, characterized in that the refractory components have 95 wt. % of grain size lower than 4 mm.
    - Gunning refractory composition according to claim 6, characterized in that the refractory components have 100 wt. % of grain size lower than 5.6 mm.
- 20 8. Process for the repair of a hot silica refractory wall comprising the steps of a) conveying a refractory composition consisting essentially of 40-90 dry weight % of amorphous silica aggregates, up to 40 dry weight % of alumina based compounds, 10-15 dry weight % of clay, 0.2-2.0 dry weight % of a chemical binder and further containing 2 to 8 % of water to a gunning nozzle,
- b) mixing the said refractory composition with water in the gunning nozzle;c) gunning the obtained mixture against the hot refractory wall.
  - 9. Process according to claim 8, **characterized in that** the refractory composition conveyed in step a) is a refractory composition according to any one of claims 2 to 7.
- 10. Process according to claim 8, characterized in that the refractory wall is a coke oven wall.